arXiv:math/0509643v1 [math.OA] 27 Sep 2005

FREE PROBABILITY ON A DIRECT PRODUCT OF NONCOMMUTATIVE PROBABILITY SPACES

ILWOO CHO

Abstract. In this paper, we will consider the free probability on a direct product of noncommutative probability spaces. Let I be a finite set and let $\{(A_i,\, \varphi_i) : i \in I\}$ be a collection of noncommutative probability spaces, where A_i's are unital algebras and φ_i's are linear functionals, for $i \in I$. Define the direct product $A = \underset{i \in I}{\times} A_i$ of algebras A_i and define the conditional expectation $E = \underset{i \in I}{\times} \varphi_i$ from A onto the subalgebra $D_{|I|}$, generated by all diagonal matrices in the matricial algebra $M_{|I|}(\mathbb{C})$. We will consider the noncommutatibe probability space $(A,\, E)$ with amalgamation over $D_{|I|}$. We observe that we can extend almost all free probabilistic results in the scalar-valued case to those in the $D_{|I|}$-valued case.

Free Probability has been developed by various mathematician from 1980's. There are two approaches to study it. One of them is the original Voiculescu's pure analytic approach (See [3]) and the other one is the Speicher and Nica's combinatorial approach (See [1], [10] and [11]). We will use the Speicher's combinatorial amalgamated Free Probability introduced and studied in [11]. Let $B \subset A$ be unital algebras with $1_A = 1_B$ (equivalently, A is an algebra over B). Suppose that there exists a conditional expectation $E : A \rightarrow B$ satisfying the bimodule map property and

(i) $E(b) = b$, for all $b \in B$

(ii) $E(bab') = bE(a)b'$, for all $b, b' \in B$ and $a \in A$.

Then the algebraic pair (A, E) is called a noncommutative probability space with amalgamation over B (or an amalgamated noncommutative probability space over B. See [11]). All elements in (A, E) are said to be B-valued random variables. When $B = \mathbb{C}$ and E is a linear functional, then we call this structure a (scalar-valued) noncommutative probability space and the elements (free) random variables. Let $a \in (A, E)$ be a B-valued random variable. Then it contains the following (equivalent) free probabilistic data,

$$E(b_1 a ... b_n a)$$

and

$$k_n(b_1 a, ..., b_n a) \overset{def}{=} \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} E_\pi(b_1 a, ..., b_n a)\mu(\pi, 1_n),$$

Key words and phrases. Noncommutative Probability Spaces, Direct Producted Noncommutative Probability Spaces, Moment Series, R-transforms, Restricted Operator-Valued Boxed Convolution, S-transforms.

called the B-valued n-th moment of a and the B-valued n-th cumulant of a, respectively, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and b_1, ..., $b_n \in B$ arbitrary, where E_π (...) is the partition-dependent B-valued moment of a and $NC(n)$ is the collection of all non-crossing partitions and μ is the Möbius functional in the incidence algebra I_2, as the convolution inverse of the zeta functional ζ defined by

$$\zeta(\pi_1, \pi_2) \overset{def}{=} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \pi_1 \leq \pi_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all π_1, $\pi_2 \in NC(n)$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (See [11]). When $b_1 = ... = b_n = 0_B$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that $E(a^n)$ and $k_n(a, ..., a)$ are trivial n-th B-valued moment and cumulant of a, respectively. Recall that the collection $NC(n)$ is a lattice with the total ordering $\leq$ (See [1], [2], [10] and [11]).

Let A_1 and A_2 be subalgebras of A. We say that they are free over B if all mixed cumulants of A_1 and A_2 vanish. Let S_1 and S_2 be subsets of A. We say that subsets S_1 and S_2 are free over B if the subalgebras $A_1 = A\lg\{S_1, B\}$ and $A_2 = A\lg\{S_2, B\}$ are free over B. In particular, the B-valued random variables a_1 and a_2 are free over B if the subsets $\{a_1\}$ and $\{a_2\}$ are free over B in (A, E). Equivalently, given two B-valued random variables a_1 and a_2 are free over B in (A, E) if all mixed B-valued cumulants of a_1 and a_2 vanish. (Recall that, when A is a $*$-algebra, they are free over B if all mixed B-valued cumulants of $P(a_1, a_1^*)$ and $Q(a_2, a_2^*)$ vanish, for all P, Q in $\mathbb{C}$ $[z_1, z_2]$.)

In this paper, we will consider Free Probability on a direct product of finite noncommutative probability spaces, as a new model for noncommutative probability space with amalgamation over the matricial subalgebra generated by all diagonal matrices. The construction of them is very similar to those of Toeplitz noncommutative probability spaces over Toeplitz matricial algebras in [5]. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and let

$$\mathcal{F} = \{(A_i, \varphi_i) : i = 1, ..., N\}.$$

be the finite family of noncommutative probability spaces (A_1, φ_1), ..., (A_N, φ_N), where A_1, ..., A_N are unital algebras and φ_1, ..., φ_N are linear functionals. Define the direct product A of A_1, ..., A_N by

$$A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j = \{(a_1, ..., a_N) : a_j \in A_j, \, j = 1, ..., N\}.$$

Now, define the diagonal matricial algebra D_N in the matricial algebra $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$D_N = \mathbb{C}[\{e_{11}, ..., e_{NN}\}],$$

where $\{e_{ij} : i, \, j = 1, ..., N\}$ is the canonical matrix units of $M_N(\mathbb{C})$. i.e.,

$$e_{ii} = \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{ccccc} & & i\text{-th} & & \end{array} & \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \downarrow & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & \\ \vdots & & \boxed{1} & & \leftarrow \\ & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} & i\text{-th} \end{array}$$

for all $i = 1, ..., N$.

Then we can define the conditional expectation $E : A \rightarrow D_N$ by

$$E\left((a_1, ..., a_N)\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(a_1) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \varphi_N(a_N) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the algebraic pair (A, E) is a noncommutative probability space with amalgamation over D_N. For convenience, sometimes, we will denote E by $(\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_N)$. We will call it the direct producted noncommutative probability space. Notice that D_N is a commutative subalgebra of $M_N(\mathbb{C})$. The main purpose of this paper is to study Free Probability on this direct producted noncommutative probability space (A, E).

In Chapter 1, we will observe the free structure of the direct producted noncommutative probability space (A, E), for instance, the D_N-freeness on (A, E). In particular, we can see that if $x = (a_1, ..., a_N)$ is in (A, E), then

$$E(x^n) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(a_1^n) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \varphi_N(a_N^n) \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$k_n\left(\underbrace{x, ..., x}_{n\text{-times}}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} k_n^{(1)}\left(\underbrace{a_1, ..., a_1}_{n\text{-times}}\right) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & k_n^{(N)}\left(\underbrace{a_N, ..., a_N}_{n\text{-times}}\right) \end{pmatrix},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $k_n(...)$ is the D_N-valued cumulant bimodule map with respect to the conditional expectation E and $k_n^{(i)}(...)$ are scalar-valued cumulant functional with respect to the linear functionals φ_i, for $i = 1, ..., N$. The above D_N-valued cumulant relation shows that if D_N-valued random variables $x = (a_1,$

..., a_N) and $y = (a_1', \ldots, a_N')$ are free over D_N if and only if a_j and a_j' are free in (A_j, φ_j), for all $j = 1, \ldots, N$.

In Chapter 2, we will consider the D_N-valued moment series and R-transform of a D_N-valued random variable in (A, E). Since D_N commutes with the direct product $A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j$, we only need to consider trivial D_N-valued cumulants of D_N-valued random variables for studying the free probabilistic information (e.g., D_N-valued distribution etc) of them. So, as a formal series in D_N, we can define the R-transform $R_x(z)$ of a D_N-valued random variable $x \in (A, E)$, by

$$R_x(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n(x, \ldots, x)\, z^n \quad \text{in} \quad D_N[[z]],$$

where $A[[z]]$ is a ring of formal series in A and z is an indeterminent. Then, similar to the scalar-valued case (See [1] and [10]), we have the following D_N-valued R-transform calculus;

(1) $R_{x+y}(z) = R_x(z) + R_y(z)$, in $D_N[[z]]$
(2) $R_{x,\,y}(z_1, z_2) = R_x(z_1) + R_y(z_2)$ in $D_N[[z_1, z_2]]$
(3) $R_{xy}(z) = (R_x \boxed{*}_{D_N} R_y)\,(z)$ in $D_N[[z]]$.

In (3), the symbol $\boxed{*}_{D_N}$ means the D_N-valued **restricted** boxed convolution on Θ_{D_N}, where Θ_{D_N} is the subset of D_N $[[z]]$ with zero D_N-constant terms.

In Chapter 3, we will consider certain D_N-valued random variables. To do that, we assume each noncommutative probability space (A_j, φ_j) is a W^*-probability space, for $j = 1, \ldots, N$. Then, by using the product topology on $A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j$, the direct product A is also a W^*-algebra and, by the subspace topology, D_N is also a W^*-algebra. So, we can have a W^*-probability space (A, E) with amalgamation over D_N. We observe the D_N-semicircularity, D_N-evenness, D_N-valued R-diagonality, D_N-circularity and D_N-valued infinitely divisibility. By [P_D_N], we will represent the D_N-valued property of the D_N-valued random variable $x = (a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ and by [P_$\mathbb{C}$], we will denote the scalar-valued property of $a_j \in (A_j, \varphi_j)$, for $j = 1, \ldots, N$. Then, we have that

> **The D_N-valued random variable x has [P_D_N] if and only if each nonzero a_j has [P_$\mathbb{C}$].**

For example, $x = (a_1, 0, a_3)$ is D_3-semicircular if and only if a_1 and a_3 are semicircular in (A_1, φ_1) and (A_3, φ_3), respectively.

Finally in Chapter 4, we will define the D_N-valued S-transforms of D_N-valued random variables. The operator-version of S-transforms are merely known. But in this special D_N-valued case, we can define the S-transforms and we can get the similar results like in the scalar-valued case. In particular, if x and y are D_N-valued random variables in (A, E) and if $E(x)$ and $E(y)$ are invertible elements in D_N, under the usual multiplication, then we can define the D_N-valued S-transforms

$S_x(z)$ and $S_y(z)$ of x and y in D_N $[[z]]$. And if x and y are free over D_N in $(A,\, E)$, then

$$S_{xy}(z) = (S_x(z))\,(S_y(z))\,.$$

1. Direct Producted Noncommutative Probability Spaces

Throughout this chapter, let's fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and the collection $\mathcal{F}$ of (scalar-valued) noncommutative probability spaces,

$$\mathcal{F} = \{(A_i, \varphi_i) : i = 1, ..., N\}.$$

Then, for the given unital algebras A_1, ..., A_N, we can define the direct producted unital algebra

$$A = \times_{j=1}^{N}\, A_j = \{(a_1, ..., a_N) : a_j \in A_j,\ j = 1, ..., N\},$$

as a set with its usual vector addition and the vector multiplication defined componentwisely by

$$(a_1, ..., a_N) \cdot (a_1', ..., a_N') = (a_1 a_1', ..., a_N a_N'),$$

for all $(a_1, ..., a_N)$, $(a_1', ..., a_N') \in A$. Then the vector multiplication on A is associative and hence A is again a unital algebra with its unity (1, ..., 1).

Let $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ be the matricial algebra generated by all $N \times N$-matrices with its canonical matrix units $\{e_{ij} : i,\, j = 1,\, ...,\, N\}$, where

$$e_{ij} = \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{cccc} & j\text{-th} & & \end{array} & \\ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \downarrow & \cdots & 0 \\ & \boxed{1} & & \longleftarrow \\ & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & \\ 0 & & & 0 \end{array}\right) & i\text{-th} \end{array}$$

Define the subalgebra D_N of $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$D_N \overset{def}{=} \mathbb{C}[\{e_{jj} : j = 1, ..., N\}].$$

Then D_N is a commutative subalgebra of $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ and it is generated by all $N \times N$ diagonal matrices in $M_N(\mathbb{C})$. We will call this subalgebra D_N, the N-th diagonal algebra of $M_N(\mathbb{C})$. Notice that we can regard D_N as the algebra $\mathbb{C}^N$ with its usual vector addition and the following vector multiplication,

$$(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N) \cdot (\alpha_1', ..., \alpha_N') = (\alpha_1\alpha_1', ..., \alpha_N\alpha_N').$$

From now, if we mention the N-th diagonal algebra D_N, then it is regarded as the algebra $\left(\mathbb{C}^N, +, \cdot\right)$, where the vector multiplication $(\cdot)$ is defined as above. Also, notice that, under this assumption, the N-th diagonal algebra D_N is a subalgebra of the direct product $A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j$. Moreover,

$$1_{D_N} = (1, ..., 1) = 1_A.$$

Thus the direct product A of A_1, ..., A_N is an algebra over D_N.

Definition 1.1. *The algebra $\left(\mathbb{C}^N, +, \cdot\right)$, defined in the above paragraph, is called the N-th diagonal algebra and we will denote it again by D_N. Define the direct producted noncommutative probability space A of $\mathcal{F}$ by the noncommutative probability space $(A,\, E)$ with amalgamation over the N-th diagonal algebra D_N, where $A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j$ is the direct product of A_1, ..., A_N and $E : A \to D_N$ is the conditional expectation from A onto D_N defined by*

$$E\left((a_1, ..., a_N)\right) = \left(\varphi_1(a_1), ..., \varphi(a_N)\right),$$

for all $(a_1, ..., a_N) \in A$. Sometimes, we will denote E by $(\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_N)$.

It is easy to see that the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map E is indeed a conditional expectation;

(i) $E\left((\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N)\right) = \left(\varphi_1(\alpha_1), ..., \varphi_N(\alpha_N)\right) = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N)$,

for all $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N) \in D_N$.

(ii) $E\left((\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N)(a_1, ..., a_N)(\alpha_1', ..., \alpha_N')\right)$

$$= E\left((\alpha_1 a_1 \alpha_1', ..., \alpha_N a_N \alpha_N')\right) = \left(\varphi(\alpha_1 a_1 \alpha_1'), ..., \varphi(\alpha_N a_N \alpha_N')\right)$$

$$= (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N) \cdot \left(\varphi(a_1), ..., \varphi(a_N)\right) \cdot (\alpha_1', ..., \alpha_N')$$

$$= (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N)\left(E((a_1, ..., a_N))\right)(\alpha_1', ..., \alpha_N'),$$

for all $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N)$, $(\alpha_1', ..., \alpha_N') \in D_N$ and $(a_1, ..., a_N) \in A$.

By (i) and (ii), the map E is a conditional expectation from $A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j$ onto D_N. Thus the algebraic pair $(A,\, E)$ is a noncommutative probability space with amalgamation over the N-th diagonal algebra D_N.

Now, we will consider the D_N-freeness on the direct producted noncommutative probability space $(A,\ E)$. Notice that the N-th diagonal algebra D_N satisfies that

$$dx = xd, \text{ for all } d \in D_N \text{ and } x \in A, \tag{1.1}$$

as a subalgebra of our direct product $A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j$. By (1.1) and the commutativity of D_N, we only need to consider the trivial D_N-valued moments and cumulants of D_N-valued random variables to study the free probabilistic information of them. i.e., we have that, for any $a \in A$,

$$E(d_1 a ... d_n a) = (d_1 ... d_n)\, E(a^n) \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$k_n\left(d_1 a, ..., d_n a\right) = (d_1 ... d_n)\, k_n\left(a, ..., a\right), \tag{1.3}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any arbitrary $d_1, ..., d_n \in D_N$.

Proposition 1.1. *Let (A, E) be the direct producted noncommutative probability space with amalgamation over the N-th diagonal algebra D_N, where $A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j$ and let a_1 and a_2 be D_N-valued random variables in $(A,\ E)$. Then they are free over D_N in $(A,\ E)$ if and only if all mixed* ***trivial*** *D_N-valued cumulants of them vanish.* $\square$

We could find the similar fact in [5], for the Toeplitz noncommutative probability spaces over the Toeplitz matricial algebras. We will consider the D_N-valued moments of an arbitrary random variable in the direct producted noncommutative probability space.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $\left(A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j,\ E = (\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_N)\right)$ be the direct producted noncommutative probability space over the N-th diagonal algebra D_N and let $x = (a_1,\ ...,\ a_N)$ be the D_N-valued random variable in $(A,\ E)$. Then the trivial n-th moment of x is*

$$E(x^n) = \left(\varphi_1(a_1^n), ..., \varphi_N(a_N^n)\right), \tag{1.4}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\square$

The above proposition is easily proved by the straightforward computation, for the fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It shows that if we know the n-th moments of $a_j \in (A_j,\ \varphi_j)$, for $j = 1,\ ...,\ N$, then we can compute the D_N-valued moment of the D_N-valued random variable $(a_1,\ ...,\ a_N)$ in $(A,\ E)$. Now, let's compute the trivial n-th cumulant of an arbitrary D_N-valued random variable;

Proposition 1.3. *Let* $\left(A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j, E = (\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_N)\right)$ *be the direct producted noncommutative probability space over the* N*-th diagonal algebra* D_N *and let* $x = (a_1, ..., a_N)$ *be the* D_N*-valued random variable in* (A, E)*. Then the trivial* n*-th cumulant of* x *is*

$$k_n\left(\underbrace{x, ..., x}_{n\text{-}times}\right) = \left(k_n^{(1)}\left(a_1, ..., a_1\right), ..., k_n^{(N)}(a_N, ..., a_N)\right),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$*, where* $k_n^{(i)}(...)$ *is the* n*-th cumulant functional with respect to the noncommutative probability space* (A_i, φ_i)*, for all* $i = 1, ..., N$*.*

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$k_n\left(x, ..., x\right) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} E_\pi(x, ..., x)\mu(\pi, 1_n)$$

(1.5)

$$= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} E_V(x, ..., x)\right)\mu(\pi, 1_n)$$

by (1,1), where $E_V(x, ..., x) = E(x^{|V|})$, where $|V|$ is the length of the block (See [1] and [11])

$$= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \left((\varphi_1(a_1^{|V|}), ..., \varphi_N(a_N^{|V|}))\right)\right)\mu(\pi, 1_n)$$

$$= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(\left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi_1(a_1^{|V|}), ..., \prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi_N(a_N^{|V|})\right)\right)\mu(\pi, 1_n)$$

$$= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(\left((\prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi_1(a_1^{|V|}))\mu(\pi, 1_n), ..., (\prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi_N(a_N^{|V|}))\mu(\pi, 1_n)\right)\right),$$

since the N-th diagonal algebra D_N is a vector space (i.e., if we let $\mu_\pi = \mu(\pi, 1_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}$, for the fixed $\pi \in NC(n)$, then $(a_1, ..., a_N) \cdot \mu_\pi = (a_1\mu_\pi, ..., a_N\mu_\pi)$, for all $(a_1, ..., a_N) \in A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j$.)

$$= \left(\sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi_1(a_1^{|V|})\right)\mu(\pi, 1_n), ..., \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi_N(a_N^{|V|})\right)\mu(\pi, 1_n)\right)$$

since D_N is a vector space (i.e., $(a_1, ..., a_N) + (a_1', ..., a_N') = (a_1 + a_1', ..., a_N + a_N')$ in A.)

(1.6)

$$= \left(k_n^{(1)}(a_1, ..., a_1), ..., k_n^{(N)}(a_N, ..., a_N)\right),$$

where $k_n^{(i)}(...)$ is the (scalar-valued) n-th cumulant functional with respect to the (scalar-valued) noncommutative probability space $(A_i,\ \varphi_i)$, for all $i = 1, ..., N$. ∎

Therefore, the equality (1.6) in the above proposition shows that the n-th D_N-valued cumulant

$$k_n\left((a_1, ..., a_N), ..., (a_1, ..., a_N)\right)$$

of the D_N-valued random variable $(a_1, ..., a_N)$ in the direct producted noncommutative probability space (A, E), is nothing but the N-tuple of n-th (scalar-valued) cumulants of a_1, ..., a_N,

$$\left(k_n^{(1)}(a_1, ..., a_1), ..., k_n^{(N)}(a_N, ..., a_N)\right).$$

By (1.6), we can get the following D_N-freeness characterization on the direct producted noncommutative probability space

$$\left(A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j,\ E = (\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_N)\right).$$

Theorem 1.4. *Let* $\left(A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j, E = (\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_N)\right)$ *be the given direct producted noncommutative probability space over the* N*-th diagonal algebra* D_N *and let* $x_1 = (a_1,\ ...,\ a_N)$ *and* $x_2 = (b_1,\ ...,\ b_N)$ *be the* D_N*-valued random variables in* (A, E)*. Then* x_1 *and* x_2 *are free over* D_N *in* (A, E) *if and only if nonzero* a_j *and* b_j *are free in* $(A_j,\ \varphi_j)$*, for all* $j = 1,\ ...,\ N$*.*

Proof. $(\Leftarrow)$ Assume that random variables a_j and b_j are free in (A_j, φ_j), for all $j = 1$, ..., N. Then, by the freeness, all mixed n-th cumulants of a_j and b_j vanish, for all $j = 1$, ..., N and for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. By the previous theorem, it is sufficient to show that the N-tuples $x_1 = (a_1,\ ...,\ a_N)$ and $x_2 = (b_1,\ ...,\ b_N)$ in $(A,\ E)$ have vanishing mixed **trivial** D_N-valued cumulants. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and let $(x_{i_1}$, ..., $x_{i_n})$ are mixed n-tuple of x_1 and x_2, where $(i_1,\ ...,\ i_n) \in \{1,\ 2\}^n$. Then

$$k_n(x_{i_1}, ..., x_{i_n}) = k_n\left((a_1^{i_1}, ..., a_N^{i_1}), ..., (a_1^{i_n}, ..., a_N^{i_n})\right)$$

$$= \left(k_n^{(1)}(a_1^{i_1}, ..., a_1^{i_n}), ..., k_n^{(N)}(a_N^{i_1}, ..., a_N^{i_n})\right)$$

by the previous proposition

$$= (0, ..., 0),$$

by the hypothesis.

($\Rightarrow$) Let's assume that the D_N-valued random variables $x_1 = (a_1, ..., a_N)$ and $x_2 = (b_1, ..., b_N)$ are free over D_N in (A, E) and assume also that there exists j in $\{1, ..., N\}$ such that nonzero a_j and b_j are not free in (A_j, φ_j). Now, fix $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and consider the mixed trivial D_N-valued cumulants of x_1 and x_2.

$$k_n\left(x_{i_1}, ..., x_{i_n}\right) = k_n\left((a_1^{i_1}, ..., a_N^{i_1}), ..., (a_1^{i_n}, ..., a_N^{i_n})\right)$$

$$= \left(k_n^{(1)}(a_1^{i_1}, ..., a_1^{i_n}), ..., k_n^{(j)}(a_j^{i_1}, ..., a_j^{i_n}), .., k_n^{(N)}(a_N^{i_1}, ..., a_N^{i_n})\right)$$

$$= (0, ..., 0, \underset{j\text{-th}}{\boxed{?}}, 0, ..., 0) \neq (0, ..., 0, ..., 0),$$

in general. Therefore, there exists the nonvanishing D_N-valued cumulant of x_1 and x_2. This contradict our assumption that D_N-valued random variables x_1 and x_2 are free over D_N in (A, E). ∎

The above theorem shows that the D_N-freeness of $(a_1, ..., a_N)$ and $(b_1, ..., b_N)$ in the direct producted noncommutative probability space (A, E) is characterized by the (scalar-valued) freeness of nonzero a_j and b_j in (A_j, φ_j), for all $j = 1, ..., N$.

Corollary 1.5. *Let* $e_i = (0, ..., 0, a_i, 0, ..., 0)$ *and* $e_j = (0, ..., 0, a_j, 0, ..., 0)$ *in* (A, E), *where* $a_k \in (A_k, \varphi_k)$, *for* $k = i, j$. *Then* e_i *and* e_j *are free over* D_N *in* (A, E), *whenever* $i \neq j$. □

Define subalgebras $A'_1, ..., A'_N$ of the direct product $A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j$ by

$$A'_j = \{(0, ..., 0, a_j, 0, ..., 0) : a_j \in (A_j, \varphi_j)\},$$

for all $j = 1, ..., N$. Then A'_j is the embedding of A_j in A. By the previous corollary, we can easily get the following;

Corollary 1.6. *The algebras* $A_1, ..., A_N$ *are free over* D_N *in the direct producted noncommutative probability space* (A, E). □

By definition, the unital algebra A_j is always free from $\mathbb{C}$ (See [1], [4], [10] and [11]). So, we can extend the above result as follows;

Proposition 1.7. *The subalgebras* $\hat{A_j} = \mathbb{C} \times ... \times \mathbb{C} \times A_j \times \mathbb{C} \times ... \times \mathbb{C}$, *for* $j = 1, ..., N$, *are free from each other over* D_N *in the direct producted noncommutative probability space* (A, E). □

2. D_N-Valued R-transform Calculus

In this chapter, based on the facts in Chapter 1, we will consider the amalgamated R-transform calculus of the direct producted noncommutative probability space. The main purpose of this chapter is to extend the Nica's scalar-valued R-transform calculus to the D_N-valued R-transform calculus. Throughout this chapter, let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(A_j,\ \varphi_j)$ be (scalar-valued) noncommutative probability spaces, for $j = 1, ..., N$. Also, let $A = \times_{j=1}^{N}\ A_j$ be the direct product algebra of A_1, ..., A_N, over the N-th diagonal algebra D_N and let $E = (\varphi_1,\ ...,\ \varphi_N)$ be the directed conditional expectation from the direct producted algebra A onto the algebra D_N. The noncommutative probability space $(A,\ E)$ with amalgamation over D_N is the direct proucted noncommutative probability space. Now, on $(A,\ E)$, we will define the D_N-valued moment series and the D_N-valued R-transform of an arbitrary D_N-valued random variable, as elements in the formal series in D_N. i.e., they are defined by the elements in $D_N\ [[z]]$, where z is an indeterminent.

Definition 2.1. *Let (A, E) be the given direct producted noncommutative probability space over the N-th diagonal algebra D_N and let $a \in (A,\ E)$ be the D_N-valued random variable. Define the D_N-valued moment series of a and the D_N-valued R-transform of a by*

$$M_a(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(a^n)\, z^n$$

and

$$R_a(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n(a, ..., a) z^n$$

in $D_N[[z]]$, where z is an arbitrary indeterminent and $E(a^n)$ and $k_n(a, ..., a)$ are the D_N-valued trivial n-th moment of a and the D_N-valued trivial n-th cumulant of a, respectively, in B.

Remark 2.1. *The above definition is little bit different from the original Voiculescu's definition and from the Speicher's combinatorial definition. Recall that Speicher defined the D_N-valued moment series of a in $(A,\ E)$ by*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(d_1 a d_2 a ... d_n a) \in D_N,$$

for arbitrary d_1, ..., $d_n \in D_N$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, he defined the D_N-valued R-transform of a in $(A,\ E)$ by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n(d_1' a, ..., d_n' a) \in D_N,$$

for arbitrary $d_1', ..., d_n' \in D_N$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. However, in (1.2) and (1.3), we observed that it suffices to consider the trivial D_N-valued moments and cumulants of a. i.e., the D_N-valued trivial moments and cumulants contains the full free *probabilistic information of the D_N-valued random variable a in $(A,\ E)$. Therefore, instead of observing the general moments and cumulants, we only observe the trivial ones. Thus, we defined the D_N-valued moment series and the D_N-valued R-transform as in the above definition. Of course, we do not need to define them as elements in the D_N-formal series in $D_N\ [[z]]$, but it makes us have the similar results with the scalar-valued case in [1] and [10].*

Remember that, by Chapter 1, we have

$$E\left((a_1, ..., a_N)^n\right) = \left(\varphi_1(a_1^n), ..., \varphi_N(a_N^n)\right),$$

and

$$k_n\left((a_1, ..., a_N), ..., (a_1, ..., a_N)\right) = \left(k_n^{(1)}(a_1, ..., a_1), ..., k_n^{(N)}(a_N, ..., a_N)\right),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $(a_1, ..., a_N) \in (A, E)$. Therefore, we can get that if $a = (a_1, ..., a_N)$ in the direct producted noncommutative probability space $(A,\ E)$, then the D_N-valued moment series and the D_N-valued R-transform of a are

$$M_a(z) = \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\varphi_1(a_1^n), ..., \varphi_N(a_N^n)\right) z^n \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$R_a(z) = \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(k_n^{(1)}(a_1, ..., a_1), ..., k_n^{(N)}(a_N, ..., a_N)\right) z^n \tag{2.2}$$

in $D_N[[z]]$, respectively. Indeed, we have the similar R-transform calculus with the scalar-valued case;

Proposition 2.1. *Let $x = (a_1, ..., a_N)$ and $y = (b_1, ..., b_N)$ be D_N-valued random variables in $(A,\ E)$ and assume that they are free over D_N (equivalently, for all $j = 1,\ ...,\ N$, the random variables a_j and b_j in $(A_j,\ \varphi_j)$ are free). Then*

(1) $R_{x+y}(z) = R_x(z) + R_y(z)$ *in* $D_N[[z]]$.
(2) $R_{x,y}(z_1, z_2) = R_x(z_1) + R_y(z_2)$ *in* $D_N[[z_1, z_2]]$. □

Let B be an arbitrary unital algebra and φ, the linear functional on B and let $(B,\ \varphi)$ be the corresponding noncommutative probability space. Assume that x and y be random variables in $(B,\ \varphi)$ and suppose that x and y are free in $(B,\ \varphi)$. We have the random variable xy in $(B,\ \varphi)$ and Speicher and Nica showed that

$$k_n^{(\varphi)}\left(\underbrace{xy, ..., xy}_{n\text{-times}}\right) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(k_\pi^{(\varphi)}\left(\underbrace{x, ..., x}_{n\text{-times}}\right) \cdot k_{Kr(\pi)}^{(\varphi)}\left(\underbrace{y, ..., y}_{n\text{-times}}\right)\right), \tag{2.3}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $k_n^{(\varphi)}(...)$ is the n-th cumulant with respect to the given linear functional φ on B and $k_\theta^{(\varphi)}(...)$ is the partition-dependent cumulant, for all noncrossing partition $\theta \in NC(n)$, and the map $Kr : NC(n) \rightarrow NC(n)$ is the Kreweras complementation map on $NC(n)$ (See [1] and [10]).

We want to see that, on the direct producted noncommutative probability space $(A,\, E)$, a certain (operator-valued) relation like (2.3) holds true. First, let's observe the following lemma;

Lemma 2.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x = (a_1, ..., a_N) \in (A, E)$, a D_N-valued random variable and let $\pi \in NC(n)$ be a noncrossing partition. Let k_π $(x,\, ...,\, x)$ be the partition-dependent cumulant bimodule map of x. Then*

$$k_\pi(x, ..., x) = \left(k_\pi^{(1)}(a_1, ..., a_1), ..., k_\pi^{(N)}(a_N, ..., a_N)\right).$$

Proof. For $\pi \in NC(n)$,

$$k_\pi\left(\underbrace{x, ..., x}_{n\text{-times}}\right) = \underset{V\in\pi}{\Pi}\left(k_{|V|}\left(\underbrace{x, ..., x}_{|V|\text{-times}}\right)\right),$$

by (1.1)

$$= \underset{V\in\pi}{\Pi}\left(k_{|V|}^{(1)}\left(a_1, ..., a_1\right), ..., k_{|V|}^{(N)}(a_N, ..., a_N)\right),$$

by (1.6)

$$= \left(\underset{V\in\pi}{\Pi}\left(k_{|V|}^{(1)}(a_1, ..., a_1)\right), ..., \underset{V\in\pi}{\Pi}\left(k_{|V|}^{(N)}(a_N, ..., a_N)\right)\right)$$

in D_N

$$= \left(k_\pi^{(1)}(a_1, ..., a_1), ..., k_\pi^{(N)}(a_N, ..., a_N)\right).$$

∎

Remark 2.2. *If $\pi = 1_n$ in $NC(n)$, by the previous lemma, we have that*

$$k_{1_n}\left(x, ..., x\right) = \left(k_{1_n}^{(1)}(a_1, ..., a_1), ..., k_{1_n}^{(N)}(a_N, ..., a_N)\right).$$

This is same as the formula (1.6).

Assume now that $x = (a_1, ..., a_N)$ and $y = (b_1, ..., b_N)$ are D_N-valued random variables in the direct producted noncommutative probability space $(A,\, E)$. Also, assume that the D_N-valued random variables x and y are free over D_N in $(A,\, E)$.

i.e., the nonzero random variables a_j and b_j are free in $(A_j,\, \varphi_j)$, for all $j = 1, ..., N$. Consider the D_N-valued random variable xy in $(A,\, E)$,

$$xy = (a_1 b_1, ..., a_N b_N)\,.$$

For the fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$k_n\,(xy, ..., xy)$$

$$= k_n\,((a_1 b_1, ..., a_N b_N), ..., (a_1 b_1, ..., a_N b_N))$$

by the previous chapter

$$= \left(k_n^{(1)} \left(\underbrace{a_1 b_1, ..., a_1 b_1}_{n\text{-times}} \right), ..., k_n^{(N)} \left(\underbrace{a_N b_N, ..., a_N b_N}_{n\text{-times}} \right) \right)$$

(2.4)

$$= (\sum_{\pi_1 \in NC(n)} k_{\pi_1}^{(1)} \left(\underbrace{a_1, ..., a_1}_{n\text{-times}} \right) \cdot k_{Kr(\pi_1)}^{(1)} \left(\underbrace{b_1, ..., b_1}_{n\text{-times}} \right),$$

$$..., \sum_{\pi_N \in NC(n)} k_{\pi_N}^{(N)} \left(\underbrace{a_N, ..., a_N}_{n\text{-times}} \right) \cdot k_{Kr(\pi_N)}^{(N)} \left(\underbrace{b_N, ..., b_N}_{n\text{-times}} \right) \quad),$$

by (2.3). Then, by the rearrangement of the summation for each j-th entry ($j = 1, ..., N$), the formula (2.4) is equal to

(2.5)

$$(\sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} k_{\pi}^{(1)} \left(\underbrace{a_1, ..., a_1}_{n\text{-times}} \right) \cdot k_{Kr(\pi)}^{(1)} \left(\underbrace{b_1, ..., b_1}_{n\text{-times}} \right),$$

$$..., \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} k_{\pi}^{(N)} \left(\underbrace{a_N, ..., a_N}_{n\text{-times}} \right) \cdot k_{Kr(\pi)}^{(N)} \left(\underbrace{b_N, ..., b_N}_{n\text{-times}} \right) \quad)$$

which is same as

$$\sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \quad \left(k_{\pi}^{(1)} \left(\underbrace{a_1, ..., a_1}_{n\text{-times}} \right) \cdot k_{Kr(\pi)}^{(1)} \left(\underbrace{b_1, ..., b_1}_{n\text{-times}} \right), ... \right.$$

$$..., \ k_{\pi}^{(N)}\left(\underbrace{a_N, ..., a_N}_{n\text{-times}}\right) \cdot k_{Kr(\pi)}^{(N)}\left(\underbrace{b_N, ..., b_N}_{n\text{-times}}\right)\Bigg)$$

in D_N

$$= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \ \Bigg(\left(k_{\pi}^{(1)}\left(\underbrace{a_1, ..., a_1}_{n\text{-times}}\right), ..., \ k_{\pi}^{(N)}\left(\underbrace{a_N, ..., a_N}_{n\text{-times}}\right)\right)$$

$$\cdot \left(k_{Kr(\pi)}^{(1)}\left(\underbrace{b_1, ..., b_1}_{n\text{-times}}\right), ..., k_{Kr(\pi)}^{(N)}\left(\underbrace{b_N, ..., b_N}_{n\text{-times}}\right)\right)\Bigg)$$

in D_N

$$= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(k_{\pi}(x, ..., x)\right)\left(k_{Kr(\pi)}(y, ..., y)\right),$$

by the previous lemma. Therefore, we can get the following theorem;

Theorem 2.3. *Let x and y be D_N-valued random variables in (A, E) and assume that they are free over D_N in $(A,\ E)$. Then we have that*

$$\text{(2.6)} \qquad k_n\left(xy, ..., xy\right) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(k_{\pi}(x, ..., x)\right)\left(k_{Kr(\pi)}(y, ..., y)\right),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

The formula (2.6) is similar to the scalar-valued case (2.3). By (2.6), we can get the following D_N-valued R-transform calculus;

Proposition 2.4. *Let x and y be D_N-valued random variables in (A, E) which are free over D_N. Then*

$$R_{xy}(z) = \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n \, z^n \quad \text{in} \quad D_N[[z]]$$

with

$$d_n = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(k_{\pi}(x, ..., x)\right)\left(k_{Kr(\pi)}(y, ..., y)\right), \ \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

□

Let Θ_t be the subset of the set $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ of all formal series with zero constant terms. i.e.,

$$\Theta_t = \{\textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n t^n : \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}, \ \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

In [1] and [10], Speicher and Nica defined the boxed convolution $\boxed{*}$ on Θ_t, as the binary operation on Θ_t defined by

$$(g_1, g_2) \longmapsto g_1 \ \boxed{*}\ g_2$$

with

$$\gamma_n = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \alpha_\pi \cdot \beta_{Kr(\pi)},$$

where $g_1(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n t^n$, $g_2(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n t^n$ and

$$(g_1 \boxed{*}\, g_2)(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n \ t^n$$

in Θ_t, where

$$\alpha_\theta = \underset{V \in \theta}{\Pi} \alpha_{|V|} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_\theta = \underset{B \in \theta}{\Pi} \beta_{|B|},$$

for all $\theta \in NC(n)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.2. *Let D_N be the N-th diagonal algebra and let $D_N[[z]]$ be the ring of the formal series in D_N. Also, let Θ_{D_N} be the subset of $D_N\ [[z]]$ consisting of all formal series in D_N with zero D_N-constant terms. Define the restricted D_N-valued boxed convolution $\boxed{*}_{D_N}$ on Θ_{D_N} by*

$$(g_1 \boxed{*}_{D_N}\ g_2)(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n z^n$$

with

$$d_n = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} a_\pi \cdot b_{Kr(\pi)} \in D_N,$$

where $g_1(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, $g_2(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ in Θ_{D_N}, and where

$$a_\theta = \underset{V \in \theta}{\Pi} a_{|V|} \quad \textit{and} \quad b_\theta = \underset{B \in \theta}{\Pi} b_{|B|},$$

for all $\theta \in NC(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The previous proposition can be rewritten as follows, by the restricted D_N-valued boxed convolution $\boxed{*}_{D_N}$;

Corollary 2.5. *Let x and y be D_N-valued random variables in (A, E) which are free over D_N. Then*

$$R_{xy}(z) = (R_x \boxed{*}_{D_N} R_y)(z) \quad \textit{in} \quad \Theta_{D_N}.$$

□

Thus, like the scalar-valued case, we can get the following R-transform calculus on the direct producted noncommutative probability space $(A,\, E)$ over the N-th diagonal algebra D_N ; if x and y are free over D_N in $(A,\, E)$, then

(1) $R_{x+y}(z) = R_x(z) + R_y(z) \quad \text{in} \quad D_N[[z]]$,
(2) $R_{x,\,y}(z_1, z_2) = R_x(z_1) + R_y(z_2) \quad \text{in} \quad D_N[[z_1, z_2]]$,
(3) $R_{xy}(z) = \left(R_x \,\boxed{*}_{D_N}\, R_y\right)(z) \quad \text{in} \quad D_N[[z]]$.

Let's define the following formal series

$$Zeta(z) = \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1_{D_N}\right) z^n$$

and

$$Mob(z) = \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\mu(0_n, 1_n) \cdot 1_{D_N}\right) z^n,$$

in Θ_{D_N}, where $1_{D_N} = \left(\underbrace{1, ..., 1}_{N\text{-times}}\right)$ in D_N. Then we can easily get the following rewritten Möbius inversion;

Proposition 2.6. *Let x be a D_N-valued random variable in a direct producted noncommutative probability space $(A,\, E)$ over D_N. Then*

(1) $M_x(z) = \left(R_x \,\boxed{*}_{D_N}\, Zeta\right)(z)$
(2) $R_x(z) = \left(M_x \,\boxed{*}_{D_N}\, Mob\right)(z)$,

in $D_N[[z]]$. □

The above proposition is nothing but the reformulated Möbius inversion in terms of the restricted D_N-valued boxed convolution.

3. Random Variables in a Direct Producted W^*-Probability Spaces

Throughout this chapter, we will fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $(A_j,\, \varphi_j)$ be a W^*-probability space, for all $j = 1, ..., N$, where A_j's are von Neumann algebras and φ_j's are states on A_j satisfying that $\varphi_j\ (a^*) = \overline{\varphi_j(a)}$, in $\mathbb{C}$, for all $a \in A_j$, for $j = 1, ..., N$. Recall that φ_j is called a trace if $\varphi_j(ab) = \varphi_j(ba)$, for all a, b in A_j, for $j = 1, ..., N$. In this case, the W^*-probability space $(A_j,\, \varphi_j)$ is said to be a tracial W^*-probability space. In this chapter, we will consider the direct producted noncommutative probability space $(A,\, E)$ over the N-th diagonal algebra D_N, where $A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j$ is the direct product of von Neumann algebras $A_1, ..., A_N$ and $E = (\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_N)$ is the conditional expectation satisfying that

$$E\left((a_1, ..., a_N)^*\right) = E\left((a_1, ..., a_N)\right)^*,$$

for all $(a_1, ..., a_N) \in (A, E)$. On A,

$$(a_1, ..., a_N)^* = (a_1^*, ..., a_N^*),$$

where a_j^* is the adjoint of a_j in A_j, for all $(a_1,\ ...,\ a_N) \in A$. Then A is indeed a $*$-algebra and hence, under the finite product topology, A is a von Neumann algebra, too. Also we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E\left((a_1, ..., a_N)^*\right) &= E\left((a_1^*, ..., a_N^*)\right) \\ &= \left((\varphi_1(a_1^*), ..., \varphi_N(a_N^*))\right) \\ &= \left((\overline{\varphi_1(a_1)}, ..., \overline{\varphi_N(a_N)})\right) \\ &= \left((\varphi_1(a_1), ..., \varphi_N(a_N))\right)^* \\ &= E\left((a_1, ..., a_N)\right)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we can regard the N-th diagonal algebra D_N, as a von Neumann algebra under the subspace topology $\mathfrak{T}_A \cap D_N$, where $\mathfrak{T}_A$ is the product topology of the topologies $\mathfrak{T}_{A_1}$, ..., $\mathfrak{T}_{A_N}$ of A_1, ..., A_N. Thus we have the inclusion of von Neumann algebras $D_N \subset A$ and we also have the conditional expectation E satisfying the above involution condition. Notice that the continuity of E is preserved by the continuity of φ_j's.

Definition 3.1. *The algebraic pair $(A,\ E)$, where A and E are given in the previous paragraph, is called the direct producted W^*-probability space over the N-th diagonal algebra D_N.*

Let's consider certain D_N-valued random variables in a direct producted W^*-probability space.

Definition 3.2. *(i) $x \in (A, E)$ is D_N-semicircular if it is self-adjoint and the only nonvanishing trivial D_N-valued cumulant of x is the second one.*

(ii) $x \in (A, E)$ is D_N-even if all trivial D_N-valued moments of x vanish.

(iii) $x \in (A, E)$ is D_N-valued R-diagonal, if the only nonvanishing mixed trivial D_N-valued cumulants of x and x^* are

$$k_{2n}\left(x, x^*, ..., x, x^*\right) \quad or \quad k_{2n}(x^*, x, ..., x^*, x),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $x = (a_1, ..., a_N) \in (A, E)$ be a D_N-valued random variable and if nonzero a_i are even (or R-diagonal) in $(A_i,\ \varphi_i)$, for all $i \in \{1,\ ...,\ N\}$, then $x \in (A,\ E)$ is D_N-even (resp. R-diagonal).*

Proof. The D_N-valued evenness and R-diagonality are determined by the D_N-valued cumulant relations by definition. Also, by (1.6), we have that

$$k_n\left(x, ..., x\right) = \left(k_n^{(1)}(a_1, ..., a_1), ..., k_n^{(N)}(a_N, ..., a_N)\right),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since nonzero $a_i \in (A_i,\ \varphi_i)$ are even, for all $i \in \{1,\ ...,\ N\}$, a_i are self-adjoint and there exist nonvanishing even cumulants of a_i's and hence the D_N-valued random variable x is self-adjoint in A and all odd trivial D_N-valued cumulants of x vanish and there exist nonvanishing even trivial D_N-valued cumulants of x. Thus x is D_N-even in $(A,\ E)$.

Similarly, by the R-diagonality of nonzero a_j's,

$$k_{2n}\left(x, x^*, ..., x, x^*\right)$$

(3.1)

$$= \left(k_{2n}^{(1)}(a_1, a_1^*, ..., a_1, a_1^*), ..., k_{2n}^{(N)}(a_N, a_N^*, ..., a_N, a_N^*)\right),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (3.1) does not vanish. The similar result holds true for k_{2n} $(x^*,\ x,\ ...,\ x^*,\ x)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let's assume that, under the same condition, the D_N-valued random variable x is not D_N-valued R-diagonal. Equivalently, there exists $(u_1,\ ...,\ u_n) \in \{1,\ *\}^n$ such that $u_k = u_{k+1}$, for some $k = 1,\ ...,\ n-1$, and

$$k_n\left(x^{u_1}, ..., x^{u_k}, x^{u_{k+1}}, ..., x_n\right) \neq 0_{D_N}. \tag{3.2}$$

By (1.6), we have

$$k_n(x^{u_1}, ..., x^{u_n}) = \left(k_n^{(1)}(a_1^{u_1}, ..., a_1^{u_n}), ..., k_n^{(N)}(a_N^{u_1}, ..., a_N^{u_n})\right). \tag{3.3}$$

By the R-diagonality of all nonzero $a_j \in (A_j, \varphi_j)$, for $j = 1,\ ...,\ N$, the formula (3.3) vanish. However, this contradict our assumption (3.2). Therefore, x is D_N-valued R-diagonal in $(A,\ E)$. ∎

The above proposition shows that the evenness and the R-diagonality of nonzero $a_j \in (A_j,\ \varphi_j)$, for some $j = 1,\ ...,\ N$, guarantee the D_N-valued evenness and R-diagonality of $(a_1,\ ...,\ a_N)$ in the direct producted W^*-probability space $(A,\ E)$ over D_N, respectively. Then how about the converse?

Theorem 3.2. *Let $x = (a_1, ..., a_N) \in (A, E)$ be a D_N-valued random variable. The D_N-valued random variable x is D_N-even (or D_N-valued R-diagonal) if and only if all nozero random variable a_j are even in $(A_j,\ \varphi_j)$, for $j = 1,\ ...,\ N$.*

Proof. By the previous proposition, we only need to show the necessary condition. It is sufficient to prove the case when we have $x = (a_1, ..., a_N)$ in (A, E) with $a_j \neq 0$, for all $j = 1, ..., N$.

(1) Let x be D_N-even. Then, by definition, all odd D_N-valued moments of x vanish. Recall that

$$E\left(x^n\right) = \left(\varphi_1(a_1^n), ..., \varphi_N(a_N^n)\right),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that there exists $j \in \{1, ..., N\}$ such that a_j is not an even element in (A_j, φ_j). i.e., there exists at least one odd number n_o in $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi_j(a_j^{n_o}) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Then we can get that

$$E(x^{n_o}) = \left(\varphi_1(a_1^{n_o}), ..., \varphi_j(a_j^{n_o}), ..., \varphi_N(a_N^{n_o})\right) \neq 0_{D_N},$$

This contradict our assumption that x is D_N-even.

(2) Suppose that x is D_N-valued R-diagonal and assume that there exists j in $\{1, ..., N\}$ such that a_j is not R-diagonal in (A_j, φ_j). So, there exists an n_0-tuple $(u_1, ..., u_{n_0})$ in $\{1, *\}^{n_0}$, for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that it is not alternating and

$$k_n^{(j)}\left(a_j^{u_1}, ..., a_j^{u_{n_0}}\right) \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{C}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & k_{n_0}\left(x^{u_1}, ..., x^{u_{n_0}}\right) \\ & = \Big(k_{n_0}^{(1)}(a_1^{u_1}, ..., a_1^{u_{n_0}}), ..., k_{n_0}^{(j)}(a_j^{u_1}, ..., a_j^{u_{n_0}}), \\ & \qquad\qquad ..., k_{n_0}^{(N)}(a_N^{u_1}, ..., a_N^{u_{n_0}})\Big) \\ & \neq 0_{D_N}. \end{aligned}$$

This contradict our assumption that x is D_N-valued R-diagonal. ∎

Recall that, as embedded W^*-subalgebras, $A_1, ..., A_N$ are free from each other over D_N in the direct product $A = \times_{j=1}^N A_j$. So, a D_N-even element $x = (a_1, ..., a_N)$ is the D_N-free sum of nonzero D_N-even elements $e_i = (0, ..., a_i, ..., 0)$, for all $i = 1, ..., N$. i.e.,

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^N e_j \quad \text{and} \quad e_j\text{'s are free from each other, for } j = 1, ..., N.$$

We have the same result when we replace D_N-evenness to D_N-valued R-diagonality.

We have just observed that the D_N-valued evenness and R-diagonality of a D_N-valued random variable $x = (a_1, ..., a_N)$ in (A, E) is totally characterized by the scalar-valued evenness and R-diagonality of nonzero random variables $a_j \in (A_j, \varphi_j)$, for all $j = 1, ..., N$. The D_N-semicircularity of $x = (a_1, ..., a_N)$ is similarly characterized by the semicircularity of nonzero $a_1, ..., a_N$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $a_j \in (A_j, \varphi_j)$ be random variables, for all $j = 1, ..., N$. Then the D_N-valued random variable $x = (a_1, ..., a_N)$ in (A, E) is D_N-semicircular if and only if all nonzero a_j's are semicircular in (A_j, φ_j), for $j = 1, ..., N$.*

Proof. ($\Leftarrow$) By (1.6), it is clear.

($\Rightarrow$) It suffices to show the case when we have nonzero a_j's, for all $j = 1, ..., N$. Assume that $a_j \neq 0$, in (A_j, φ_j), for all $j = 1, ..., N$, and suppose that the D_N-valued random variable $x = (a_1, ..., a_N)$ is D_N-semicircular in (A, E). Let's assume that there exists $j_0 \in \{1, ..., N\}$ such that a_{j_0} is not semicircular in (A_{j_0}, φ_{j_0}). Then there exists nonvanishing n_0-th cumulant $k_{n_0}^{(j_0)}(a_{j_0}, ..., a_{j_0})$ of a_{j_0}, where $n_0 \neq 2$ in $\mathbb{N}$. observe that

$$k_{n_0}(x, ..., x)$$

$$= \left(k_{n_0}^{(1)}(a_1, ..., a_1), ..., k_{n_0}^{(j_0)}(a_{j_0}, ..., a_{j_0}), ..., k_{n_0}^{(N)}(a_N, ..., a_N)\right)$$

$$\neq (0, ..., 0, ..., 0) = 0_{D_N}.$$

This contradict our assumption that x is D_N-semicircular. ∎

Also, by regarding the von Neumann algebras $A_1, ..., A_N$, as embedded W^*-subalgebras in the direct product $A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j$, a D_N-semicircular element $x = (a_1, ..., a_N)$ is the D_N-free sum of D_N-semicircular elements $e_j = (0, ..., a_j, ..., 0)$, for $j = 1, ..., N$.

Definition 3.3. *Let $x \in (A, E)$ be a D_N-valued random variable. We say that x is infinitely divisible if there exist D_N-valued random variables $x_{n,1}, ..., x_{n,n}$ in (A, E), for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that (i) they are free from each other over D_N in (A, E) and (ii) they are D_N-valued identically distributed. i.e.,*

$$k_m(x, ..., x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_m(x_{n,j}, ..., x_{n,j}) = n k_m(x_{n,j}, ..., x_{n,j}).$$

We can recognize that the D_N-valued infinitely divisibility of D_N-valued random variables is also defined by the D_N-cumulant relation. Therefore, we have that;

Theorem 3.4. *The D_N-valued random variable $x = (a_1, ..., a_N)$ in (A, E) is D_N-valued infinitely divisible if and only if all nonzero a_j are infinitely divisible in (A_j, φ_j), for $j = 1, ..., N$.* $\square$

So, all properties of a D_N-valued random variable x depending on the D_N-valued cumulants are characterized by the properties of scalar-valued random variables (which are the components of x) depending on the scalar-valued cumulants.

4. D_N-VALUED S-TRANSFORMS

In this chapter, we will consider the D_N-valued S-transform theory on the direct producted noncommutative probability space (A, E) of noncommutative probability spaces $\{ (A_j, \varphi_j), j = 1, ..., N \}$, over the N-th diagonal algebra D_N, where $A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j$ is the direct product of unital algebras $A_1, ..., A_N$ and $E = (\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_N)$ is the conditional expectation from A onto D_N. The scalar-valued S-transforms are introduced by Voiculescu to study the free probabilistic data of the products of two free (scalar-valued) random variables. Nica defined the S-transforms combinatorially.

Let (B, φ) be a noncommutative probability space with its linear functional $\varphi : B \to \mathbb{C}$ and let $b \in (B, \varphi)$ be a random variable satisfying that $\varphi(b) \neq 0$. Then define the S-transform of b by

$$s_b(t) = \tfrac{1+z}{z}\, m_b^{<-1>}(t) = \tfrac{1}{z}\, r_b^{<-1>}(t), \tag{4.1}$$

in $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$, where $m_b(t)$ and $r_b(t)$ are the moment series and R-transform of b in Θ_t, and where $m_b^{<-1>}(t)$ and $r_b^{<-1>}(t)$ is the inverse of $m_b(t)$ and $r_b(t)$, with respect to the composition on $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$. Notice that, by the assumption that $\varphi(b) \neq 0$ and by (4.1), the S-transform $s_b(t)$ of b is not contained in Θ_t, since $s_b(t)$ contains the constant term $\frac{1}{\varphi(b)}$. He showed that if b_1 and b_2 are random variables in a noncomutative probability space (B, φ) satisfying that $\varphi(b_1) \neq 0 \neq \varphi(b_2)$ in $\mathbb{C}$, and if the random variables b_1 and b_2 are free in (B, φ), then

$$s_{b_1 b_2}(t) = (s_{b_1}(t))\,(s_{b_2}(t))\,. \tag{4.2}$$

We will extend the S-transform relation (4.2) to the D_N-valued case.

Define a subset A_{-1} of A by

$$A_{-1} = \{(a_1, ..., a_N) \in A : \varphi_j(a_j) \neq 0 \text{ in } A_j,\ \forall j = 1, ..., N\}.$$

Also, define a subset D_N^{-1} of D_N by

$$D_N^{-1} = \{(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N) \in D_N : \alpha_j \neq 0, \forall j = 1, ..., N\}.$$

Let $x = (a_1, ..., a_N) \in A_{-1}$. Then

$$E\,(x) = (\varphi_1(a_1), ..., \varphi_N(a_N)) \in D_N^{-1} \subset D_N \tag{4.3}$$

Notice that the algebraic structure $\left(D_N^{-1},\ \cdot\right)$ is a group, where $(\cdot)$ is the usual vector multiplication on D_N. Consider the set of formal series

$$D_N^{inv}[[z]] = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n z^n \in D_N[[z]] : \begin{array}{l} d_0 \in D_N^{-1}, \\ d_n \in D_N, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right\},$$

as a subset of $D_N[[z]]$, where z is the indeterminent. By the very definition, for all $f \in D_N^{inv}\ [[z]]$, the D_N-constant term of f is always contained in D_N^{-1} and hence every element f in $D_N^{inv}\ [[z]]$ has its multiplication inverse f^{-1}. (Remark the difference between the composition inverse $f^{<-1>}$ and the multiplication inverse f^{-1}.) Thus it is easy to see that the algebraic structure $(D_N^{inv}\ [[z]],\ \cdot)$ is also a group.

Recall the definitions of Θ_{D_N} and the restricted D_N-valued boxed convolution $\boxed{*}_{D_N}$ (See Chapter 2).

Definition 4.1. *Define the subset of* $\Theta_{D_N}^{inv}$ *of* Θ_{D_N} *by*

$$\Theta_{D_N}^{inv} = \{g \in \Theta_{D_N} : g \text{ is } \boxed{*}_{D_N}\text{-invertible}\}.$$

Then $\left(\Theta_{D_N}^{inv},\ \boxed{*}_{D_N}\right)$ is a group, too, lying in $D_N[[z]]$. Indeed, we can have that, if g_1, g_2 and g_3 are in $\left(\Theta_{D_N}^{inv}, \boxed{*}_{D_N}\right)$, then we can construct the corresponding R-transforms $R_{x_1}(z)$, $R_{x_2}(z)$ and $R_{x_3}(z)$ of x_1, x_2 and x_3 in some noncommutative probability spaces $(A,\ E)$ over D_N, such that $D_N a = a D_N$, for all $a \in A$, where x_1, x_2 and x_3 are free from each other over D_N.

Remark 4.1. *The choice of the above unital algebra* A, *which are commutes with* D_N, *is totally depending on our definition of the restricted* D_N*-valued boxed convolution,* $\boxed{*}_{D_N}$ *on* Θ_{D_N}. *So, if* $g \in (\Theta_{D_N}^{inv},\ \boxed{*}_{D_N})$, *then, by* $\boxed{*}_{D_N}$, *we can regard* g *as the R-transform* $R_x(z)$ *of the* D_N*-valued random variable* x *in a noncommutative probability space* $(B,\ E_{D_N}^B)$ *with amalgamation over* D_N, *where* D_N *commutes with* B.

So, if g_1, g_2 and g_3 are in $\left(\Theta_{D_N}^{inv}, \boxed{*}_{D_N}\right)$, then

$$g_1 \boxed{*}_{D_N}\ (g_2 \boxed{*}_{D_N} g_3) = R_{x_1 x_2 x_3}(z) = (g_1 \boxed{*}_{D_N} g_2)\ \boxed{*}_{D_N} g_3.$$

Thus, the D_N-valued restricted boxed convolution $\boxed{*}_{D_N}$ is associative on $\Theta_{D_N}^{inv}$.

(ii) The formal series $1_{D_N} \cdot z \in \Theta_{D_N}^{inv}$ is the $\boxed{*}_{D_N}$-identity.

(iii) By definition, every $g \in \Theta_{D_N}^{inv}$ has its $\boxed{*}_{D_N}$-inverse.

By (i), (ii) and (iii), $\left(\Theta_{D_N}^{inv},\ \boxed{*}_{D_N}\right)$ is indeed a group. Suppose now that

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad g^{-1}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n' z^n,$$

where g^{-1} is the $\boxed{*}_{D_N}$-inverse of g in $\Theta_{D_N}^{inv}$. By definition, we have that

$$\left(g\ \boxed{*}_{D_N}\ g^{-1}\right)(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n\, z^n = \left(g^{-1}\ \boxed{*}_{D_N}\ g\right)(z)$$

with

$$\sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} (d_\pi) \cdot (d'_{Kr(\pi)}) = p_n = \sum_{\theta \in NC(n)} (d'_\theta)(d_{Kr(\theta)}),$$

satisfying

$$p_1 = 1_{D_N} \quad \text{and} \quad p_n = 0_{D_N},\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}.$$

Thus we have that

$$d_1 d_1' = 1_{D_N} = d_1' d_1.$$

This shows that

$$d_1,\ d_1' \in D_N^{-1} \subset D_N. \tag{4.4}$$

Conversely, if $d_1 \in D_N^{-1}$ for $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n z^n$ in Θ_{D_N}, then we can select $g^{-1}(z)$ $= d_1^{-1} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \delta_n(d_1)\ z^n$ in $\Theta_{D_N}^{inv}$, where $\delta_n(d_1)$ are elements in D_N depending on d_1, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$.

Theorem 4.1. *The groups* $\left(\Theta_{D_N}^{inv},\ \ \boxed{*}_{D_N}\right)$ *and* $\left(D_N^{inv}[[z]],\ \cdot\right)$ *are homomorphic groups.*
$\square$

The above theorem is proved by the little modification of the proof of the Theorem 14.3 in [1]. Again, we have to remark that if we do not have the condition (1.1), we cannot use the proof in [1] to prove the above theorem. In Theorem 14.3 in [1], Nica showed that the groups $\left(\Theta_t^{inv}, \boxed{*}\right)$ and $(\mathbb{C}[[t]]_{inv},\ \cdot)$ are isomorphic, by the isomorphism $\mathcal{F}$ from Θ_t^{inv} onto $\mathbb{C}[[t]]_{inv}$,

$$\mathcal{F}\left(f(t)\right) = \tfrac{1}{t} f^{<-1>}(t), \text{ for all } f \in \Theta_t.$$

But we only show that $(\Theta_{D_N}^{inv},\ \boxed{*}_{D_N})$ and $(D_N^{inv}\ [[z]], \cdot)$ are homomorphic, because we cannot guarantee that $\Theta_{D_N}^{inv}$ and $D_N^{inv}[[z]]$ are bijective. But, similar to the scalar-valued case, we can define a map F from $\Theta_{D_N}^{inv}$ to $D_N^{inv}[[z]]$ by

$$F\left(g(z)\right) = \tfrac{1}{z}\ g^{<-1>}(z), \text{ for all } g \in \Theta_{D_N}^{inv}. \tag{4.5}$$

Then, clearly, the map F is injective. By replacing $\mathbb{C}$ to D_N in the proof in [1], we can prove the above theorem.

Definition 4.2. *Let $x \in (A, E)$ be a D_N-valued random variable such that $E(x) \in D_N^{-1}$, where $A = \times_{j=1}^{N} A_j$ and $E = (\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_N)$. Then the S-transform of x is defined by*

$$S_x(z) \overset{def}{=} \frac{1}{z} R_x^{<-1>}(z) \quad in \quad D_N^{inv}[[z]].$$

By the previous theorem, we can get the following main result of this chapter;

Corollary 4.2. *Let x and y be D_N-valued random variables in our direct producted noncommutative probability space (A, E) with amalgamation over the N-th diagonal algebra D_N, satisfying that $E(x)$, $E(y) \in D_N^{-1}$. If x and y are free over D_N in (A, E), then*

$$(4.6) \qquad S_{xy}(z) = (S_x(z))\,(S_y(z))\,, \quad in \ D_N^{inv}[[z]].$$

Proof. Let x and y be D_N-valued random variables in (A, E) and assume that $E(x)$ and $E(y)$ are in D_N^{-1}. Then the R-transforms $R_x(z)$ and $R_y(z)$ of x and y have their composition inverse $R_x^{<-1>}(z)$ and $R_y^{<-1>}(z)$ in D_N $[[z]]$. Also, we have that $E(xy) = E(x)\ E(y)$, by the D_N-freeness of x and y, and hence $E(xy)$ is also contained in D_N^{-1}. So, $R_{xy}^{<-1>}(z)$ exists in D_N $[[z]]$. Thus the S-transforms S_{xy}, S_x and S_y are well-defined in D_N^{inv} $[[z]]$, with their D_N-constant terms $E(xy)$, $E(x)$ and $E(y)$, respectively. Observe that

$$S_{xy}(z) = \frac{1}{z} R_{xy}^{<-1>}(z) = \frac{1}{z}\left(R_x \boxed{*}_{D_N}\ R_y\right)^{<-1>}(z)$$

by the D_N-freeness of x and y

$$= F\left(R_x \boxed{*}_{D_N}\ R_y\right) = \left(F(R_x)\right)\left(F(R_y)\right)$$

by the definition of the group homomorphism F from $(\Theta_{D_N}^{inv},\ \boxed{*}_{D_N})$ to $(D_N^{inv}$ $[[z]], \cdot)$

$$= \left(\tfrac{1}{z} R_x^{<-1>}(z)\right)\left(\tfrac{1}{z} R_y^{<-1>}(z)\right) = (S_x(z))\,(S_y(z))\,.$$

∎

We finish this chapter by observing the following general case;

Remark 4.2. *In this remark, we will extend the above observation to a certain general case. Let's assume that D is a commutative unital algebra. Define a subset Θ_D of formal series $D[[z]]$ by*

$$\Theta_D = \{\textstyle\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n z^n \in D[[z]] : d_0 = 0_D\}.$$

Define the restricted D-valued boxed convolution $\boxed{*}_D : \Theta_D \times \Theta_D \to \Theta_D$ by

$$(g_1 \boxed{*}_D\, g_2)(z) = \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n z^n$$

with

$$d_n = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(d_{\pi}^{(1)}\right)\left(d_{Kr(\pi)}^{(2)}\right),$$

where

$$g_1(z) = \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^{(1)}\, z^n \quad \text{and} \quad g_2(z) = \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^{(2)}\, z^n$$

and

$$d_{\theta}^{(i)} = \prod_{V \in \theta} d_{|V|}^{(i)}, \ \ \text{for} \ \ i = 1, 2, \ \forall \theta \in NC(n), \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Then, the algebraic structure $(\Theta_D, \boxed{*}_D)$ is a semigroup, because, for any g_1, g_2, $g_3 \in \Theta_D$, we can get D-valued random variables x_1, x_2 and x_3 in the D-**free** non-commutative probability space (A_1, E_1), (A_2, E_2) and (A_3, E_3) with amalgamation over D, respectively, such that D commutes with A_1, A_2 and A_3, respectively, and $g_j(z) = R_{x_j}(z)$, for $j = 1, 2, 3$, where $R_{x_j}(z)$ is defined by*

$$R_{x_j}(z) = \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(k_n^E(x_j, ..., x_j)\right) z^n \quad \text{in} \quad \Theta_D,$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$, where $E = E_1 * E_2 * E_3$ on $A_1 *_D A_2 *_D A_3$. Indeed,

$$(g_1 \boxed{*}_D\, g_2) \boxed{*}_D\, g_3 = R_{x_1 x_2 x_3} = g_1 \boxed{*}_D\, (g_2 \boxed{*}_D\, g_3).$$

So, $(\Theta_D, \boxed{*}_D)$ is a semigroup. Define a subset Θ_D^{inv} in Θ_D by

$$\Theta_D^{inv} = \{\textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n\, z^n \in \Theta_D : d_1 \in D_{inv}\},$$

where $D_{inv} = \{d \in D : d$ is invertible in $D\}$. Then $\left(\Theta_D^{inv}, \boxed{*}_D\right)$ is a group with its $\boxed{*}_D$-identity $1_D \cdot z$. If we define

$$D[[z]]_{inv} = \{g \in D[[z]] : g \text{ is } (\cdot)\text{-invertible}\},$$

where $(\cdot)$ is the usual multiplication on $D[[z]]$. Then $(D[[z]]_{inv}, \cdot)$ is a group, too. By defining

$$F_D : \Theta_D^{inv} \to D[[z]]_{inv}$$

by

$$F_D(g) = \tfrac{1}{z} g^{<-1>}(z),$$

where $g^{<-1>}$ is the composition inverse of g in $D[[z]]$, we can verify that $\left(\Theta_D^{inv}, \boxed{*}_D\right)$ and $(D[[z]]_{inv}, \cdot)$ are homomorphic. So, we can define the S-transform $S_x(z)$ of a D-valued random variable x in the noncommutative probability space (B, E_D) with amalgamation over D, with $E_D(x) \in D_{inv}$, where D commutes with B, by

$$S_x(z) = F\left(R_x(z)\right),$$

where $R_x(z)$ *is the R-transform of* $x \in (B, E_D)$ *in* Θ_D^{inv}. *And if* x *and* y *are D-free random variables in* $(B,\ E_D)$ *such that* $E_D(x)$ *and* $E_D(y)$ *are contained in* D_{inv}, *then*

$$S_{xy}(z) = \left(S_x(z)\right)\left(S_y(z)\right) \quad in \quad D[[z]]_{inv}.$$

References

[1] A. Nica, R-transform in Free Probability, IHP course note.

[2] A. Nica, R-transforms of Free Joint Distributions and Noncrossing Partitions, J. of Func. Anal, 135 (1996), 271-296.

[3] D.Voiculescu, K. Dykemma and A. Nica, Free Random Variables, CRM Monograph Series Vol 1 (1992).

[4] F. Radulescu, Singularity of the Radial Subalgebra of $L(F_N)$ and the Pukánszky Invariant, Pacific J. of Math, vol. 151, No 2 (1991), 297-306.

[5] I. Cho, Toeplitz Noncommutative Probability Spaces over Toeplitz Matricial Algebras, (2002), Preprint.

[6] I. Cho, The Moment Series of the Generating Operator of $L(F_2)*_{L(K)} L(F_2)$, (2003), Preprint.

[7] I. Cho, Random Variables in a Graph W^*-Probability Space, (2003), Preprint.

[8] I. Cho, The Moments of Block Operators of a Group von Neumann Algebra, (2005), Preprint.

[9] K. J. Horadam, The Word Problem and Related Results for Graph Product Groups, Proc. AMS, vol. 82, No 2, (1981) 157-164.

[10] R. Speicher, Combinatorics of Free Probability Theory IHP course note

[11] R. Speicher, Combinatorial Theory of the Free Product with Amalgamation and Operator-Valued Free Probability Theory, AMS Mem, Vol 132 , Num 627 , (1998).